

Document Downloaded: Thursday February 25, 2010

Kauffman Proposal Session

Author: Keith McDowell

Published Date: 02/24/2010

Kauffman "Free Choice" Proposal:

A Response to the Memorandum of August 17, 2009, to Esther Lee, Department of Commerce

by

Keith McDowell

Vice Chancellor for Research and Technology Transfer
The University of Texas System
February 18, 2010

Free Choice Major Themes

- No credible evidence for TTO underperformance.
- No evidence that Free Choice would work.
- Flawed understanding of university technology commercialization, Bayh-Dole, and mission of universities.

Performance of TTOs

- Underperformance is an Urban Myth!
- Surveys and anecdotal stories are not evidence of performance
 - False correlation: NIH funding FDA drug approval
 - IP "out the back door" minor problem
- Understaffing conundrum
- Speed versus quality deal
- Revenue distribution not a determinative factor
- AUTM data indicates robust system
- Paul Harvey: "the rest of the story."
- TTOs are self-improving and self-adapting



- 686 new products into market in 2007
- 555 new startup companies launched in 2007
- 19,827 disclosures
- 5,109 licenses and options signed
- 3,622 patents issued
- 3,388 startup companies still operational

The "rest of the story" in UT System/Texas Innovation/Commercialization Ecosystem

- Over 100 technology transfer professionals
- UT Technology Managers Council 2 decades
- Monthly Special Interest Group conference calls
- Contract, Commercialization, Incubator Councils
- 12 incubators out of 15 campuses
- Working with over 250 startup companies
- Ideas on Fire: www.utsystem.edu/rtt
- Regents: commercialization in T&P
- Regents: commercialization in mission statements
- Regents: Texas Ignition Fund
- Texas Emerging Technology Fund
- Commercialization in \$300M per year cancer fund

Performance of "Modest" Operations

- UT Brownsville Incubator Nearly 60 companies since 2003
 - ConsultingPoint, Inc. NASA

ConsultingPoint, Inc.

- UT Pan American Incubator NAFTA economy and Fortune 500 companies
 - FibeRio Technology Corporation
- UT Permian Basin 2 startups in NCET2 webinar
- UTEP Rapid acceleration in spinouts
 - SENEXTA: Alzheimer drug, Swiss partners





Problems with Free Choice Requirement

- Concept didn't work before Bayh-Dole
- Concept hasn't worked internationally
- Will significantly slow down commercialization
 - Complexity of multiple inventors and technologies
 - Complexity of funding sources
 - Complexity of multiple managers
 - Balkanization of faculty IP
 - Tangled legal obligations legal and financial liabilities
- Faculty COI: financial interest in license and startup
- Personal benefit over societal benefit
- Lack of practical experience and time of most faculty
- Faculty conflict of commitment

Problems with Free Choice Requirement

(continued)

- Ignores university investment in inventions
- Who bears the cost of commercialization?
 - Inventors No, heavy burden
 - Home institutions Reluctant to risk money on IP managed by others
- Potential emergence of third party licensing entities with profit/sustainability business plan
- Competition is NOT the issue sustainable ecosystem is!
 - Slow down commercialization
 - Drive up costs
 - Cherry pick IP
- Committing the resources of other universities

Problems with Free Choice Requirement (continued)

- State Law: Texas requires fair value for IP
- No evidence would improve more "modest" operations
- Harm to the faculty-TTO relationship!
- Major TTOs believe inappropriate

University Mission and Federal Funding

- Education of students/workforce as agents of technology transfer
- Creation and dissemination of knowledge
- Increase in the storehouse of knowledge
- Enhancing national research capacity, capabilities, and infrastructure
- Assure America world leader in discovery and innovation